Engl 112 Group Peer Review Guide, WA3: **Print one of these sheets for each paper you review**. Your responses may be typed and printed or hand-written. None of these questions should be answered simply “yes” or “no.” Use the back of this sheet or additional pages if needed. Return this form with your responses to the author. Also, feel free to write comments directly on the author’s paper.

Author’s Name: Dagan

Peer Reviewer’s Name: Bryn

1. Read through the entire draft and describe your initial response to it.

Great introduction. Sounds professional and sets the scene. Your points flow very well into resulting or following claims.

1. Does the draft have a clear thesis/argument? Express the draft’s argument briefly in your own words. Is this thesis appropriate for this assignment? What suggestions do you have for how the author could strengthen the thesis?

Consider a clearer thesis statement. You could better clearly define what you will be discussing in regards to the culture. It is clear you will be discussing automobiles but it is not necessarily clear what aspects of them you will be discussing. However, your intro is already very strong and clear so this may not be needed.

1. Consider all aspects of the paper’s use of sources based on the many ways we’ve discussed and read about using sources in this class. Aspects could include, among others things: MLA formatting, kinds/quality of sources used, clarity and relevance of source use, etc. Explain what the paper does well in regards to sources, as well as how it could be improved.

You use sources well, you explain their relevancy and then apply their data. Make sure that your grammar/sentence structure is correct though. (See page 2 about the Automobile Ownership quote)

1. Evaluate the way the author’s draft stays focused (or does not) on its thesis throughout the paper. Indicate areas where the draft seems to lose focus and provide advice for how to improve it.

You stay very focused throughout. Your conclusion is very strong and really wraps up the paper . (Nice job) The war section could have more sources and more focus if you wanted to improved that a bit too.

1. In a paragraph, evaluate the use of counterarguments in this paper. (For example: Does it present a range of perspectives? Does it accurately and fairly represent perspectives different from the author’s? Etc.)

Could provide more counterarguments. I saw you did add a few but there’s always room for more ☺ You did well at explaining them in your paragraph on page 5. I like the way you say “some may…they may argue..etc” and then you go into why it is valid/invalid. It’s a good format.

1. Explain two things the author’s draft does well, and provide two other suggestions for what you think the author could work on while revising the draft into a stronger paper.

Your paper sounds professional and looks professional. You make clear points and it flows well. You correctly format the MLA citations, even the brackets which is awesome. You could add more citations in the latter part of your argument just to back up more of your claims but overall your argument is super solid.